

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 09/865,553   | 05/29/2001            | Karola Rittner       | 032751-050           | 4015             |
| 21839 7  | 590 12/23/2005        |                      | EXAMINER             |                  |
| BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PC (INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) |                       |                      | STRZELECKA, TERESA E |                  |
| POST OFFICE  |                       | CRER & MATTIS)       | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ALEXANDRIA   | ANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 |                      | 1637                 |                  |
|  |                       |                      |                      |                  |

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)          |                    |
|--|---|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Notice of Abandanmant  | 09/865,553  | RITTNER ET AL.        |                    |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner  | Art Unit              |                    |
|  | Teresa E. Strzelecka  | 1637                  | •                  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with the co                         | orrespondence ad      | dress              |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |   |                       |                    |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a)  A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Money period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not a proposed reply was received on, but it does not a proposed reply was received on, but it does not a proposed reply was received on, but it does not a proposed reply was received on, but it does not a proposed reply was received on, but it does not a proposed reply was received on, but it does not a proposed reply was received on, but it does not a proposed reply was received on, but it does not a proposed reply was received on</li> </ol> | lailing or Transmission dated<br>month(s)) which expired on | ··                    | •                  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection  |   |                       | •                  |
| application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (   | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of                      |                       |                    |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See   |   | mpt at a proper repl  | ly, to the non-    |
| (d) ☑ No reply has been received.  |   |                       |                    |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8   |   | the statutory period  | of three months    |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).  |   |                       |                    |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance   | e of \$ is due.   |                       |                    |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$  | The publication fee, if required by 37                      | CFR 1.18(d), is \$    | ·                  |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no   | ot been received.   |                       |                    |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).  | ired by, and within the three-month p                       | period set in, the No | tice of            |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on<br>after the expiration of the period for reply.  | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran                      | smission dated        | ), which is        |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  |   |                       |                    |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the<br/>the applicants.</li> </ol>   | e attorney or agent of record, the assi                     | gnee of the entire i  | nterest, or all of |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an<br/>1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>  | attorney or agent (acting in a representation               | entative capacity ur  | nder 37 CFR        |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim   |   | e the period for see  | king court review  |
| 7. The reason(s) below:  |   | TERESA STRZ           |                    |
|  |   | Teresa &              | frelection         |
|  |   | 12/20                 | fuelcolia<br>105   |
|  |   |                       |                    |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra minimize any negative effects on patent term.   | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (                     | CFR 1.181, should be  | promptly filed to  |